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Abstract

Introduction: This study analyzed associations between plasma vitamin D₃ (25OHD₃) and bone mineral density (BMD) and whether the effects of conjugated equine estrogens (CEE) on BMD are modulated by 25OHD₃.

Methods: Fifty cynomolgus monkeys were fed a diet containing 25OHD₃ (providing a woman’s equivalent of 1000 IU/day of 25OHD₃). The monkeys underwent bilateral oophorectomy and were randomized to either CEE (equivalent of 0.45 mg/day) (n = 25) or placebo (n = 25) and continued receiving the same diet. 25OHD₃ and BMD were measured at randomization and after 6 months. BMD also was measured after 20 months (equivalent to 6 human years). Associations between 25OHD₃ and BMD were subsequently analyzed.

Results: Baseline 25OHD₃ plasma concentrations varied from 26 to 95 ng/mL (mean ± standard deviation [SD] 45 ± 15 ng/mL). Higher plasma concentrations of 25OHD₃ were associated with a significantly increased BMD. Monkeys on both CEE and placebo had increased BMD over 20 months; however, the increase was not significantly different (0.034 g/cm² vs. 0.020 g/cm², respectively; p = 0.064). The 20-month BMD increased significantly with CEE treatment in those with higher vs. lower 25OHD₃ concentrations (p = 0.027). The percent change in BMD over 20 months also increased significantly with CEE treatment in those with higher vs. lower 25OHD₃ concentrations (p = 0.018). A higher 25OHD₃ concentration had no significant effect on BMD in those receiving placebo.

Conclusions: Monkeys fed a diet containing 1000 IU/day equivalent of 25OHD₃ have a wide range of plasma 25OHD₃ concentrations. Those receiving CEE with higher 25OHD₃ concentrations had higher BMDs, suggesting 25OHD₃ and CEE have synergistic effects on BMD.

Introduction

Aside from the well-accepted role of vitamin D in skeletal health, epidemiologic and cross-sectional studies have suggested associations between vitamin D status and diabetes,¹,² energy metabolism,³ heart disease,⁴–⁶ cancer (including colorectal,⁷ prostate,⁸ and breast⁹), and compromised immune function.¹⁰–¹² However, some clinicians and researchers are suggesting caution regarding widespread measurement of 25-hydroxyvitamin D₃ (25OHD₃) or recommendation of robust vitamin D repletion in the absence of clear evidence demonstrating a benefit.

A recent report by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) suggested that most women receive adequate amounts of 25OHD₃ and that the prevalence of 25OHD₃ deficiency has been overestimated.¹⁰,¹¹ According to this report, the current recommended dietary allowance (RDA) of 25OHD₃ is 600 IU/day in women up to age 70 and 800 IU/day in those > 70 years of age.¹¹ The IOM committee suggested that evidence supporting a role for calcium and vitamin D on non-skeletal health outcomes is lacking.¹¹ It should be noted, however, that the IOM’s recommendations are somewhat controversial, and some believe the dietary allowances should be higher. The International Osteoporosis Foundation (IOF), for instance, suggests that 800–1000 IU/day is the average supplemental dose to reach an appropriate serum 25OHD concentration.¹³ Furthermore, they state that those at higher risk may require doses up to 2000 IU/day to achieve an adequate concentration.¹³ The National Osteoporosis Foundation (NOF) recommends 400–800 IU/day of 25OHD₃ for adults less than age of...
50 and 800–1000 IU/day for those 50 years of age or older. Similar to the IOF, they suggest some people will need more oral 25(OH)D3, with an upper limit of safety being 4000 IU/day. Other guidelines, including those of the Endocrine Society, are available and provide an additional perspective, highlighting the lack of clear and well-designed evidence-based data.

The role of vitamin D in bone health is well established, but less is known about the correlation of serum 25(OH)D3 concentrations, the effect of higher supplementation, and bone mineral density (BMD). Much of the current evidence related to vitamin D in health outcomes is from retrospective and cohort studies, research that is hampered by the effects of multiple confounding variables (such as diet, sun exposure, compliance, and chronic medical conditions). Similarly, there is significant evidence that race and heritability play a major role in plasma 25(OH)D3 concentrations. The results of one study, for instance, demonstrated that individual differences were predominantly the result of genetics. The genetic differences were able to be demonstrated in the winter, when sun exposure was minimal, but not in the summer, suggesting environmental factors (predominantly sun exposure) may be able to compensate for vitamin D deficiency related to genetics. Little is known about these individual differences and whether supplementation resulting in higher plasma concentrations has a clinically significant effect.

Although small animals, such as rats and mice, are excellent models for many studies, nonhuman primates, such as cynomolgus macaques, are most closely related to humans. Because of similar physiology, skeletal formation, hormones, and metabolism, studies using the cynomolgus monkey model facilitate productive and reliable translational research in humans. The results of studies done in nonhuman primates have shown that their menstrual cycles are similar to those of humans, with comparable levels of estrogen, progesterone, estradiol, and follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) throughout menstruation and menopause. Decreased bone mass and bone turnover after menopause in macaques occur to a similar degree as in humans, and 1 year after surgical menopause, macaques experience a level of bone loss comparable to bone loss 3–4 years after natural menopause in women. The findings of comparative research have reported that drug trials using macaque models agree with data available from drug trials in women. Macaque models, therefore, are useful in studying bone health because they allow for bone measurements difficult to obtain in women. Additionally, estrogen treatment (ET) has been shown to prevent bone loss and increase BMD in cynomolgus monkey models, as it does in women.

The recent IOM report recognized the key role vitamin D plays in maintaining bone health and encouraged further targeted vitamin D research. It has been shown that the continuation of both calcium and vitamin D is important to influence the effectiveness of antiresorptive agents, such as bisphosphonates and hormone therapy (HT)/ET, but little is known about whether an individual’s vitamin D metabolism and, hence, plasma concentration are directly related to bone health or subsequent response to antiresorptive agents. Therefore, this study sought to evaluate the plasma concentration of 25(OH)D3 in cynomolgus monkeys and assess its association with BMD at baseline and after being randomized to ET vs. placebo. The primary objective was to determine if monkeys with higher baseline 25(OH)D3 plasma concentrations have higher baseline BMD. The secondary objective was to analyze the response to ET in those with low vs. high 25(OH)D3 concentrations.

Materials and Methods

A cohort of 50 surgically menopausal cynomolgus monkeys was used for this study. Sexual maturity in this species is reached between 4 and 5 years of age, epiphyseal closure is complete between 6 and 7 years of age, and peak bone mass is attained between 9 and 10 years of age. The average age of the monkeys in this study, based on dentition, was 12 years; thus, we assure a mature baseline population was being studied. The monkeys were imported from Indonesia (the Indonesian Primate Center, the Pusat Studi Satwa Primata) at the Institute Pertanian Bogor in West Java, Indonesia. All animal procedures and protocols for this study were conducted in compliance with state and federal laws, standards of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), and guidelines established by the Wake Forest University (WFU) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). All monkeys consumed an identical diet that provided them with a woman’s equivalent of 1000 IU/day of 25(OH)D3 and 1200 mg/day of calcium for 4 months leading up to the study and then throughout the study. In addition, the monkeys randomized to ET had 0.45 mg equivalent of conjugated equine estrogen (CEE) added to their diet (Fig. 1). These specialized, weight-based, monkey chow diets were made at the WFU primate center where the monkeys were housed and where all demographic data were collected and BMD testing was performed. To confirm adequate dosing and dietary intake, all monkeys were fed 120 cal/kg of body weight per day, and body weights were monitored and obtained.

All monkeys were housed in the same living conditions, eliminating 25(OH)D3 variations from ultraviolet-B radiation (UVB). All monkeys were housed in stable social groups consisting of 4–5 monkeys per pen. All pens were equipped with perches and greater than the minimal amount of floor space required by federal rules, regulations, and guidelines to allow for species-specific levels of activity and exercise. No pens were exposed to direct sunlight; therefore, UVB radiation exposure was negligible among all subjects, with no between-group differences.

Serum was transported to the Vitamin D testing center at The Reading Hospital and Medical Center (TRHMC) chemistry laboratory. We used a high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)/tandem mass spectrometry for the 25-OH vitamin D assay, with determinations for both 25(OH)D3 and 25(OH)D2. This technology used the Shimadzu liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/2) system. The liquid LC-MS prepares the sample to be ionized through physical separation capabilities of liquid chromatography for mass analysis by injection into the AB Sciex 3200 Q Trap mass spectrometer.

To quantify BMD, we used a dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry machine (DXA) (XR-46, Norland, Fort Atkinson, WI) with DXA software (version 3.9.6b, Norland) commonly used to measure BMD in postmenopausal women and a methodology/technique previously validated to measure whole body and lumbar spinal BMD in macaques. Each monkey was sedated with ketamine (10 mg/kg) followed by
isoflurane. Measurements were made of the whole body and the lumbar spine (lumbar vertebrae 2–4) using techniques described previously. Vertebral BMD changes can be detected as early as 6 months postovariectomy, and the microarchitecture of the vertebral spine is similar to that of humans. All measurements were made with the same machine, and the DXA machine was calibrated before each experimental reading. Hip BMD was not used, as it is more difficult to quantify in macaques and would, therefore, be less reliable.

Immediately before randomization (defined as baseline for this study), 25OHD3 concentrations were measured and BMD was determined for all monkeys. All monkeys underwent surgical menopause (bilateral oophorectomy). The monkeys were then randomized: 25 received CEE (a woman's equivalent of 0.45 mg/day), the ET group, and 25 received placebo. Two of the monkeys in the control group had ovarian remnant syndrome, a condition in monkeys and women that has been well documented and described. Because the ovarian remnant syndrome is common and, thus, would likely be present in some subjects if we studied a similar cohort of women, we chose to leave these 2 monkeys in the study. To assure this information did not confound our data, however, we also controlled for this information in subsequent analyses. Ovarian remnant syndrome was determined by elevated estradiol concentrations, generally >5 pg/mL, in those postovophorectomy. In this cohort, both of these monkeys had plasma estradiol concentrations of >10 pg/mL. After 6 months of treatment, 25OHD3 concentrations and BMD levels were remeasured in all monkeys. After a total of 20 months of treatment (equivalent of 6 human years), the BMD and weights were remeasured in the monkeys (Fig. 1). Associations between 25OHD3 and BMD were studied by comparing higher vs. lower concentrations of 25OHD3 (i.e., ≥vs. < the median), along with analyzing 25OHD3 as a continuous variable looking at the baseline BMD, the 20-month BMD, and the mean percent change in BMD over the 20 months. Twenty-month vitamin D concentrations were not available; based on the pharmacokinetics of vitamin D, 6-month concentrations were considered stable and steady-state.

Results

Among 50 monkeys consuming an adequate and consistent quantity of 25OHD3, the mean (standard deviation [SD], range) baseline body weight (BW) was 2.9 kg (±0.4 kg, 2.1–3.7 kg). There was no difference in body weights throughout the study between groups, providing a high degree of confidence that each monkey was receiving adequate dietary intake of 25OHD3. The mean baseline 25OHD3 concentration was 53.9 ng/mL (±15.0 ng/mL, 26.4–95.2 ng/mL) (Fig. 2). The mean percent change of 25OHD3 concentrations (from...
baseline to 6-month values) was 3.9% (±23.3% to 71.7%). Six-month BMD data were available for all monkeys, but the 20-month BMD data were not available for 1 monkey in each group, yielding cohorts of \( n = 24 \) for both groups.

At baseline, as the concentration of 25OHD\(_3\) increased, the BMD significantly increased in a linear fashion \((p = 0.049)\) (Fig. 3). As the percent change in 25OHD\(_3\) increased (from baseline to 6 months), the percent change in BMD over 20 months increased in a linear fashion \((p = 0.034)\) (Fig. 4). Body weights and 25OHD\(_3\) concentrations were compared for each cohort at baseline, and there were no differences in the mean value of each variable between the ET and control monkeys.

When comparing the monkeys receiving ET to the control monkeys after 20 months, those on ET had a greater mean change in BMD measurements \((0.034 \text{ g/cm}^2\) vs. \(0.020 \text{ g/cm}^2\)), although the difference failed to achieve statistical significance \((p = 0.064)\). For monkeys on ET, those with a mean percentage change in 25OHD\(_3\) concentrations at or above the median (using the average of the baseline to 6 month 25OHD\(_3\) concentration) had greater absolute 20-month BMD values compared to monkeys with a lower percentage change in 25OHD\(_3\) concentrations \((0.045 \pm 0.029 \text{ g/cm}^2\) vs. \(0.021 \pm 0.017 \text{ g/cm}^2\), \(p = 0.027\)). For monkeys on ET, those with a mean percentage change in 25OHD\(_3\) concentrations at or above the median experienced a greater BMD percent change over 20 months compared to monkeys with a lower percentage change in 25OHD\(_3\) concentrations \((9.47 \pm 5.31 \text{ g/cm}^2\) vs. \(4.62 \pm 3.69 \text{ g/cm}^2\), \(p = 0.018\)) (Fig. 5).

Because of the possibility that the results could have been affected by the 2 monkeys with ovarian remnant syndrome, the data subsequently were analyzed with these subjects removed. The results of having excluded data from these 2 monkeys showed no differences between groups in any of the comparisons.

**Discussion**

The results of this study indicate that large interindividual variations in 25OHD\(_3\) concentrations exist even when the amount of 25OHD\(_3\) consumed is tightly controlled. A major advantage of our methodology and use of the macaque model is the ability to eliminate the multiple confounding variables seen in human cohorts. With this in mind, our data in this translational model suggest that an individual’s serum 25OHD\(_3\) concentration is dependent on more than diet, oral 25OHD\(_3\) supplementation doses, sun exposure, or menopausal status. The individual variations are presumably largely dependent on genetics. These findings also raise the question of what role 25OHD\(_3\) supplementation plays. How much is one able to respond to vitamin D deficiency through pharmacologic supplementation (vs. sun exposure), or are there certain genetically determined individuals who are able to respond more favorably?

These results showed a clear association between those macaques with higher 25OHD\(_3\) concentrations and higher BMD measurement. The natural question is: Can 25OHD\(_3\) supplementation translate, over time, into improved BMD? Alternatively, it could be that increased 25OHD\(_3\) is simply a marker for genetic predisposition to other metabolic phenomena that cause increased BMD. This possibility is especially intriguing, given the fact that all monkeys were receiving an identical diet, with identical 25OHD\(_3\) supplementation and similar sun exposure.

It has been well established that HT/ET leads to increased BMD because of its antiresorptive properties.\(^{35,36}\) Although all monkeys receiving ET had improved BMD, there was clearly an advantage in those receiving CEE in the higher 25OHD\(_3\) group compared with the lower 25OHD\(_3\) group. Looking at the absolute difference, those receiving CEE with increased

![FIG. 3. Baseline vitamin D\(_3\) concentration as a function of baseline lumbar spine (L2–4) BMD in cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis). Baseline, for this study, was after a 4-month prestudy period consuming a controlled diet of a women’s equivalent of 1000 IU/day of 25OHD\(_3\).](image-url)
25OHD₃ concentrations had approximately double the BMD of those with lower 25OHD₃ concentrations (Fig. 5). Interestingly, in addition to those receiving CEE who had increased plasma concentrations of 25OHD₃, all other groups had approximately the same BMD findings after 20 months (those receiving placebo, regardless of the 25OHD₃ concentration, and those receiving CEE with lower 25OHD₃ concentrations). If these results persist in larger-scale studies and translational findings, they could imply that increased 25OHD₃ concentrations may target those who will have positive or enhanced bone effects with CEE and help delineate what role 25OHD₃ replacement may have. It could be that 25OHD₃ in the plasma works synergistically with CEE to yield positive skeletal results.

When the baseline 25OHD₃ concentrations were measured, the monkeys had been on a consistent diet with the equivalent of 1000 IU/day of 25OHD₃ for 4 months. By calculating a percent change in 25OHD₃ from baseline to 6 months, we are likely identifying those individuals that are responsive or amenable to 25OHD₃ supplementation. A major finding of this study is that the responsiveness of 25OHD₃ supplementation may have prognostic value in terms of the potential beneficial effects of ET. The clinical implication of these findings, therefore, may be the following: For women given CEE for bone protection, knowledge of the 25OHD₃ concentration and an attempt to raise it into an adequate range may be beneficial or prognostic. Of note, similar to trends observed in humans, baseline 25OHD₃ was significantly correlated with both 6-month 25OHD₃ and 6-month percent change in 25OHD₃ (p<0.001), although there was no difference in this relationship in the estrogen group (control [CTL] vs. CEE). Monkeys in the CTL group showed a significant correlation between baseline 25OHD₃ and 6-month 25OHD₃ (r=0.472, p=0.017) and between baseline 25OHD₃ and percent change in 25OHD₃ (r=-0.595, p=0.002). Monkeys in the CEE group showed a significant correlation between baseline 25OHD₃ and 6-month 25OHD₃ (r=0.759, p<0.001) and between baseline 25OHD₃ and percent change in 25OHD₃ (r=-0.405, p=0.044).

Limitations of this study include the small sample size. Whether these findings will translate from the monkey model...
to a human cohort will need to be demonstrated. However, cynomolgus monkeys are a well-documented model in which to study these findings.\textsuperscript{22–26} Using human models, it is nearly impossible to recreate the tightly controlled environment achieved in this cohort. As we do not know the ideal 25OHD\textsubscript{3} concentrations for optimum health in humans or monkeys, we analyzed the data based on the relationships between higher vs. lower 25OHD\textsubscript{3} concentrations as surrogates for adequate vs. inadequate. As we are aware of few studies evaluating 25OHD\textsubscript{3} concentrations in nonhuman primates, we are unable to compare our data to prior studies. The amount of sunlight among these animals, by design, was negligible. The amount of oral 25OHD\textsubscript{3} in the diets, however, was adequate to meet the physiologic needs. The 25OHD\textsubscript{3} concentrations in these monkeys may be higher than those found in young adult women, and interpretation of human 25OHD\textsubscript{3} concentrations in epidemiologic studies is difficult, considering the multiple confounding environmental factors, such as diet, sunlight, concomitant medical conditions, medications and supplements, and compliance. In order to provide a more controlled environment than human studies, therefore, we chose to eliminate UVB (direct sunlight exposure) as a major potential confounding factor. One of the most clinically relevant finding in this study is that individual differences and wide variations between 25OHD\textsubscript{3} concentrations exist despite equal and adequate dietary supplementation, similar exercise, and minimal sun exposure.

A major strength of this study is the strict, natural compliance of the study model, with regard to diet, compliance with medication vs. placebo, timing of testing and medications, and other study protocol. The fact that we were able to see such clear results and trends despite a small sample size is quite impressive. Certainly, repeating this study, along with a larger sample, in translational findings would be ideal. In conclusion, cynomolgus monkeys fed a diet containing a woman’s equivalent of 1000 IU/day of 25OHD\textsubscript{3} have a wide range of plasma 25OHD\textsubscript{3} concentrations, likely due to genetic variations. Despite consuming the same amount of dietary 25OHD\textsubscript{3}, those with higher 25OHD\textsubscript{3} plasma concentrations have higher BMDs. Those consuming CEE, in the upper half of the median of plasma 25OHD\textsubscript{3} concentrations, had the greatest increase in BMD over time. These results suggest that 25OHD\textsubscript{3} and CEE may have synergistic effects on BMD.
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